- Query
- Reports
- **Utilities**
- Logout

CLOSED, IFP, NPROSE

U.S. District Court Eastern District of New York (Brooklyn) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-cv-03313-ARR-LB

Kaminsky v. National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Assigned to: Senior Judge Allyne R. Ross Referred to: Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom

Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act

Date Filed: 08/22/2008

Date Terminated: 01/19/2010

Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of

Information Act

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Barry Kaminsky

represented by Barry Kaminsky

2652 Cropsey Avenue

Apt. 12-F

Brooklyn, NY 11214

718-372-6786

Email: barry@polisource.com

PRO SE

V.

Defendant

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

represented by **David Michael Eskew**

United States Attorney's Office Eastern District Of New York

Civil Division

271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

718-254-6194

Fax: 718-254-7489

Email: david.eskew@usdoj.gov

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text	
08/11/2008	1	COMPLAINT against National Aeronautics and Space Administration, filed by Ba Kaminsky. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet) (Jones, Sandra) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/11/2008	2	MOTION for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis by Barry Kaminsky. (Jones, Sandra) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/11/2008	3	Notice of Related Case Assignment/Designation (Jones, Sandra) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/22/2008	4	ORDER: Plaintiff's 2 application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 is hereby granted. The United States Marshals Service is directed to serve the summons and complaint upon the defendant without prepayment of fees. The parties shall advise the Court by signing the enclosed form whether they jointly consent to trial and decision on this case by me, a United States Magistrate Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). So Ordered. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom, on 8/21/2008) C/mailed w/Attachments: # 1 Consent to Magistrate Judge Trial, # 2 Individual Practices of Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom. <i>Inter-Off. Svc. upon the U.S.M.S.</i> (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 08/22/2008)	
08/22/2008	<u>5</u>	Summons Issued as to National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Courtesy Copy sent to U.S. Attorney General; U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York; and the Agency) (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 08/22/2008)	
10/10/2008	6	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Barry Kaminsky. National Aeronautics and Space Administration served on 9/15/2008, answer due 11/14/2008. US ATTY EDNY served on 9/2/08 and US Atty General served on 9/3/08. (Galeano, Sonia) (Entered: 10/10/2008)	
10/17/2008	7	First MOTION for Extension of Time to File Answer <i>to December 1, 2008</i> by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 10/17/2008)	
10/22/2008	8	ORDER endorsed on doc.# 7: Plaintiff is correct that due to clerical error, the summons was marked 60 days, but should have only afforded 30 days by statute. Therefore, the extension the government requests is too long. Defendant shall respond to the complaint by November 21, 2008. So Ordered. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom, on 10/22/2008) C/mailed. (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 10/23/2008)	

11/21/2008	9	ANSWER to <u>1</u> Complaint by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 11/21/2008)		
11/24/2008	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11/25/2008	1 1	ANSWER to Motion to Dismiss/ <u>9</u> Answer to Complaint by Barry Kaminsky. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Letter of Enclosure) (Barrett, C) (Entered: 11/26/2008)		
12/22/2008	<u>1</u> 2	Minute Entry: for proceedings held before Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom:Initial/Settlement Conference held on 12/22/2008. Tick #(s) 2:34-3:22. (Galeano, Sonia) (Entered: 12/23/2008)		
12/23/2008		ENDORSED ORDER ON DOC. #12, the Court held an initial conference today and discussed the parties' positions. The Court shall hold a status conference on 1/26/09 2:30 p.m., in Courtroom #373N. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom on 12/22/08) c/m (Galeano, Sonia) (Entered: 12/23/2008)		
01/26/2009	<u>1</u> <u>3</u>			
02/03/2009		ENDORSED ORDER ON DOC. #13, the Court shall hold a status conference on 2/23/09 in Courtroom #373N at 2:00 p.m. unless a stipulation discontinuing this action is received prior to that date. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom on 1/26/09) c/m (Galeano, Sonia) (Entered: 02/03/2009)		
02/17/2009	1 4	Letter dated 2/17/09 from pro se Barry Kaminsky to Judge Bloom, requesting that th 2/23/09 conference be a settlement conference rather than a status conference, etc. (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 02/18/2009)		
02/17/2009	1 5	NOTICE of Plaintiff's E-mail address; filed by Barry Kaminsky, dated 2/17/09. W/Attachments: # 1 Affirmation of Service. (<i>Docket sheet updated</i>) (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 02/18/2009)		
02/23/2009	<u>1</u> <u>6</u>			

02/24/2009	<u>1</u> 7	1	
03/02/2009	<u>1</u> <u>8</u>	TRANSCRIPT of Civil Cause for Conference held on 2/23/2009, before Judge Bloom. Court Transcriber Rosalie Lombardi, Telephone number 516-358-7352. Transcript may be viewed at the court public terminal or purchased through the Court Transcriber before the deadline for Release of Transcript Restriction. After that date it may be obtained through PACER. Redaction Request due 3/23/2009. Redacted Transcript Deadline set for 4/2/2009. Release of Transcript Restriction set for 6/1/2009. (Toribio, Winnethka) (Entered: 03/02/2009)	
03/10/2009	<u>1</u> <u>9</u>	Notice of MOTION for Litigation Costs; filed by Barry Kaminsky, dated 3/10/20 W/Attachments: # 1 Affidavit in Support of Motion for Litigation Costs; # 2 Affirmation of Service. (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 03/13/2009)	
03/23/2009	<u>2</u> <u>0</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re 19 Notice of MOTION for More Definite Statement <i>Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Litigation Costs</i> filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit E (Eskew, David) (Entered: 03/23/2009)	
06/05/2009	<u>2</u> 1	ORDER: Plaintiff now moves for an award of \$454 in litigation costs, <u>see</u> document <u>19</u> , and defendant opposes the motion. Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate, or even identify, any benefit to the public in this case. Likewise, plaintiff fails to establish the other factors which would militate the award of costs. Therefore, plaintiff's <u>19</u> motion for costs in this action is denied. Plaintiff may sign a settlement agreement discontinuing the action by 6/19/2009, or defendant may write the Court requesting permission to file a dispositive motion. SO ORDERED. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom, on 6/5/2009) C/mailed. (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 06/09/2009)	
06/19/2009	<u>2</u> <u>2</u>		
06/22/2009	<u>2</u> <u>3</u>	ORDER endorsed on doc.# <u>22</u> : Application for <u>sua sponte</u> dismissal is - denied. The motion shall be briefed in accordance with the requested schedule. So Ordered. (Ordered by Judge Allyne R. Ross, on 6/22/2009) <i>C/mailed by Chambers</i> . (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 06/23/2009)	
07/21/2009	<u>2</u> 4	Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Responses due by 8/21/2009 (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)	
	-		

07/21/2009	<u>2</u> <u>5</u>	NOTICE by National Aeronautics and Space Administration re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Defendants Local Civil Rule 56.2 Notice to Pro S Litigant Opposing Motion for Summary Judgment</i> (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	<u>2</u> <u>6</u>	RULE 56.1 STATEMENT re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Defendants Local Civil Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Facts</i> filed by Nationa Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	<u>2</u> 7	MEMORANDUM in Support re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment</i> filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	<u>2</u> <u>8</u>	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION in Support re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Declaration of Stella Luna in Support of Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment, and all Exhibits annexed thereto</i> filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C, # <u>4</u> Exhibit D, # <u>5</u> Exhibit E) (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	<u>2</u> 9	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION in Support re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Declaration of Victor Thompson in Support of Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment, and all Exhibits annexed thereto</i> filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B) (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	<u>3</u> <u>0</u>	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION in Support re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Declaration of Assistant United States Attorney David M. Eskew, and all Exhibits annexed thereto</i> filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B) (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
07/21/2009	3 1	-			
07/21/2009	<u>3</u> <u>2</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Service for Motion for Summary Judgment served on Plaintiff on July 21, 2009, filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 07/21/2009)			
08/21/2009	3 3	Notice Of MOTION For More Definite Statement <i>Or In The Alternative Discovery filed</i> by Barry Kaminsky, dated 8/21/2009. W/Attachments: # 1 Affirmation of Bark Kaminsky in Support of Plaintiff's Motion, # 2 Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Motion, # 3 Affirmation of Service. (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 08/24/2009)			

09/04/2009	3 4	Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 09/04/2009)	
09/04/2009	<u>3</u> <u>5</u>	NOTICE by National Aeronautics and Space Administration re <u>34</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Defendant's Local Civil Rule 56.2 Notice to Pro Litigant Opposing Motion for Summary Judgment</i> (Eskew, David) (Entered: 09/04/2009)	
09/04/2009	<u>3</u> <u>6</u>	REPLY in Opposition re <u>33</u> Notice of MOTION for More Definite Statement, REPLY in Support re <u>24</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment <i>Defendant's Reply Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for a More Definite Statement and in Further Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered: 09/04/2009)</i>	
09/04/2009	<u>3</u> <u>7</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Service for Reply Brief served on Plaintiff on September 4, 2009, filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration. (Eskew, David) (Entered 09/04/2009)	
09/04/2009	3 8	Letter to the Honorable Allyne R. Ross accompanying courtesy copies of fully brief motion for summary judgment by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Eskew, David) (Entered: 09/04/2009)	
09/04/2009		DUPLICATE MOTION terminated: Per David Eskew, Esq. the <u>34</u> Notice of MOTION for Summary Judgment filed by National Aeronautics and Space Administration was previously filed as document <u>24</u> . A decision will be rendered on the <u>24</u> motion. (Barrett, C) (Entered: 09/08/2009)	
09/04/2009		DUPLICATE DOCKET INFORMATION: Documents <u>34</u> and <u>35</u> are duplicates of documents <u>24</u> , <u>25</u> , respectively. (The <u>34</u> motion has been terminated, as it was filed in error.) (Barrett, C) (Entered: 09/08/2009)	
09/16/2009	3 9	ORDER: Plaintiff's <u>33</u> motion for a more definite statement or in the alternative for discovery is denied. In light of plaintiff's <i>pro se</i> status, the Court grants plaintiff until 9/30/2009 to file any opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment. If plaintiff fails to oppose the motion by this date, the Court shall consider defendant's motion for summary judgment unopposed. SO ORDERED. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom, on 9/16/2009) <i>C/mailed</i> . (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 09/17/2009)	
09/30/2009	<u>4</u> 0		

01/19/2010	_	OPINION AND ORDER: Defendant's <u>24</u> Motion for Summary Judgment is graph Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed in its entirety. The Clerk of the Court is directed enter judgment accordingly. SO ORDERED. (Ordered by Judge Allyne R. Ross 1/14/2010) <i>C/mailed by Chambers. (Forwarded for Judgment)</i> (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 01/19/2010)		
01/19/2010	4 2	JUDGMENT: ORDERED and ADJUDGED that plaintiff take nothing of the defendant; that defendant's motion for summary judgment is granted; and that plaintiff's complaint is dismissed in its entirety. (Ordered by Robert C. Heinemann, Clerk of Court on 1/19/2010) <i>C/mailed w/appeals packet</i> . (Latka-Mucha, Wieslawa) (Entered: 01/19/2010)		
03/19/2010	<u>4</u> <u>3</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL as to <u>41</u> Order on Motion for Summary Judgment, <u>42</u> Clerk's Judgment, by Barry Kaminsky. NO FEE PAID. NOA served ECF (Gonzalez, Mary (Entered: 03/19/2010)		
03/19/2010		Electronic Index to Record on Appeal sent to US Court of Appeals. For docket entries without a hyperlink, contact the court and we'll arrange for the document(s) to be made available to you. 29 Affidavit in Support of Motion, 38 Letter, 15 NOTICE of Change of Address/Firm/Email, 41 Order on Motion for Summary Judgment, 31 Letter, 1 Complaint, 22 Motion to Dismiss Case as Frivolous, 40 Reply in Opposition, 42 Clerk's Judgment, 39 Order on Motion for More Definite Statement,, 30 Affidavit in Support of Motion, 8 Order on Motion for Extension of Time to Answer, 2 Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis, 23 Order on Motion to Dismiss Case as Frivolous, 43 Notice of Appeal, Incorrect Case/Document/Entry Information., 28 Affidavit in Support of Motion, 24 Motion for Summary Judgment, 27 Memorandum in Support, 19 Motion for More Definite Statement, 36 Reply in Opposition, Reply in Support, 4 Order on Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis, 9 Answer to Complaint, 5 Summons Issued as to USA, Terminate Motions, 33 Motion for More Definite Statement, 17 Order,, 25 Notice(Other), 7 Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer, 10 Scheduling Order, 35 Notice(Other), 20 Response in Opposition to Motion, 16 Initial Conference Hearing, Settlement Conference,, 32 Affidavit of Service, 34 Motion for Summary Judgment, 21 Order on Motion for More Definite Statement,, 18 Transcript,, 3 Notice of Related Case, 37 Affidavit of Service, 6 Summons Returned Executed as to USA (Gonzalez, Mary) (Entered: 03/19/2010)		
03/16/2011	4 MANDATE of USCA as to 43 Notice of Appeal filed by Barry Kaminsky. It is Ordered that the judgment of the District Court is affirmed. See document for furth details. Issued as Mandate: 3/16/11. (McGee, Mary Ann) (Entered: 03/16/2011)			

PACER Service Center

Transaction Receipt

09/22/2011 15:56:07				
PACER Login:	pb0232	Client Code:	foiaproj	
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:08-cv-03313-ARR- LB	
Billable Pages:	5	Cost:	0.40	